

HONO Measurement by Differential Photolysis

Bloss, William; Crilley, Leigh; Kramer, Louisa

DOI:

[10.5194/amt-9-2483-2016](https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-2483-2016)

License:

Creative Commons: Attribution (CC BY)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Bloss, W, Crilley, L & Kramer, L 2016, 'HONO Measurement by Differential Photolysis', *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions*, vol. 9, pp. 2483-2495. <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-2483-2016>

[Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal](#)

Publisher Rights Statement:

Eligibility for repository: Checked on 10/3/2016

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.



1 HONO Measurement by Differential Photolysis

2 C. Reed¹, C. A. Brumby³, L. R. Crilley⁴, L. J. Kramer⁴, W. J. Bloss⁴, P. W. Seakins^{2,3}, J. D.
3 Lee^{1,2}, L. J. Carpenter¹

4 [1] Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of
5 York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, United Kingdom

6 [2] NCAS, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, United
7 Kingdom

8 [3] School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

9 [4] School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, B15
10 2TT, United Kingdom.

11 Correspondence to: James.Lee@york.ac.uk

12

13 Abstract

14 Nitrous acid (HONO) has been quantitatively measured *in-situ* by differential photolysis at 385
15 and 395 nm and subsequent detection as nitric oxide (NO) by the chemiluminescence reaction
16 with ozone (O₃). The technique has been evaluated by FT-IR to provide a direct HONO
17 measurement in a simulation chamber, and compared side-by-side with a Long Absorption Path
18 Optical Photometer (LOPAP) in the field. The NO/O₃ chemiluminescence technique is robust,
19 well characterized and capable of sampling at low pressure whilst solid-state converter
20 technology allows for unattended *in-situ* HONO measurements in combination with fast time
21 resolution and response.

22 1 Introduction

23 Nitrous acid (HONO) is a major source of hydroxyl (OH) radicals in the boundary layer
24 (Elshorbany et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2014; Levy II, 1973). HONO can be formed homogeneously
25 through reaction of nitric oxide (NO) with OH, heterogeneously through several pathways, or
26 emitted directly (Kleffmann, 2007; Lammel and Cape, 1996; Spataro and Ianniello, 2014; Su et
27 al., 2011). HONO is formed heterogeneously on surfaces through the reaction of NO₂ with H₂O
28 (Bröske et al., 2003). This heterogeneous formation of HONO is a net source of OH radicals in



1 the troposphere and is an important mediator of air quality, particularly in polluted environments
2 (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003; Gutzwiller et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2015). Direct emission of HONO
3 through vehicle exhaust is also thought to be a source (Kirchstetter et al., 1996; Kurtenbach et
4 al., 2001). Emission from snowpack has also been documented (Beine et al., 2008; Zhou et al.,
5 2001) and more recently biogenic sources of HONO have been identified from nitrite producing
6 bacteria (Oswald et al., 2013; Su et al., 2011), and soil crusts (Weber et al., 2015).

7 In urban areas HONO can be the major net source of OH (discounting radical cycling driven by
8 e.g. NO), contributing up to 80% of daytime OH production in winter and 50% in summer
9 (Elshorbany et al., 2008; Kleffmann, 2007; Villena et al., 2011b). However, the sources of
10 HONO and the many processes by which it forms are not well understood (Kleffmann et al.,
11 2006; Sörgel et al., 2011; Spataro and Ianniello, 2014; Villena et al., 2011a). There is a clear
12 need for *in-situ* measurement of HONO in order to better understand its chemistry and
13 emissions.

14 Currently, methods of detecting HONO are either remotely through DOAS (Febo et al., 1996;
15 Hendrick et al., 2014; Stutz et al., 2010), or by filter/denuder sampling (Acker et al., 2005, 2006;
16 Febo et al., 1993, 1996; Ianniello et al., 2007). A variety of *in-situ* techniques exist, namely:
17 Quantum Cascade-Tunable Infrared Laser Differential Absorption Spectrometer (QC-TILDAS)
18 (Lee et al., 2011); Ion Drift Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometer (ID-CIMS) (Levy et al.,
19 2014); Ambient Ion Monitor - Ion Chromatography (AIM-IC) (Markovic et al., 2012;
20 Vandenboer et al., 2014); Stripping-Coil Visible Absorption Photometry (SC-AP) (Ren et al.,
21 2011); Negative-Ion Proton-Transfer Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry (NI-PT-CIMS)
22 (Roberts et al., 2010); Incoherent Broadband Cavity Enhanced Absorption Spectroscopy
23 (IBBCEAS) (Pusede et al., 2014); dedicated commercial on-line, *in-situ* measurements include
24 Dual Laser – Quantum Cascade Laser (Aerodyne Research) and, as used in this study, Long Path
25 Absorption Photometer (LOPAP) (Heland et al., 2001). LOPAP has been characterized quite
26 extensively by other authors e.g. (Clemmshaw, 2004; Kleffmann and Wiesen, 2008; Kleffmann et
27 al., 2006, 2013; Ródenas et al., 2013).



1 Here, we demonstrate the exploitation of a known HONO interference for photolytic NO₂
2 conversion systems (Pollack et al., 2011; Ryerson et al., 2000; Sadanaga et al., 2010, 2014;
3 Villena et al., 2012), to provide a simple photolytic technique for quantitative analysis of HONO.

4 **2 Experimental**

5 The differential photolytic HONO technique, henceforth referred to as pHONO, was developed
6 from an existing fast NO_x analyser described in section 2.1. The photolytic converter is described
7 specifically in section 2.2. Calibration is described in 2.3.

8 **2.1 Differential Photolysis instrument**

9 Measurement were performed using a dual channel Air Quality Design Inc. (Golden, Colorado,
10 USA) instrument equipped with a UV-LED based photolytic NO₂ converter – commonly referred
11 to as a Blue Light Converter (BLC) as described in Reed et al. (2015).

12 Briefly, two NO chemiluminescence analysers operate in parallel with duplicated independent
13 equipment. The analysers share a common inlet allowing for parallel calibration of each channel.
14 One channel is equipped with a photolytic NO₂ converter so that NO_x can be determined with
15 that channel whilst also measuring NO concurrently. This allows for fast (1 Hz or greater)
16 determination of NO and NO₂.

17 In order to be able to also measure HONO, the NO_x channel was redesigned so that the
18 photolytic converter (section 2.2) operates in a switching mode. That is, the two lamps of
19 different wavelengths operate alternately on a 50% duty cycle. Practically, the lamps switch
20 every 30 seconds allowing for ca. 1 minute time resolution data.

21 **2.2 NO₂/HONO photolytic converter**

22 Photolytic converters were based on those supplied by Air Quality Design and manufactured
23 according to their proprietary standards (Buhr, 2004, 2007) and are described in Reed et al.,
24 (2015). Practically, two UV-LED arrays are positioned at opposing ends of a cavity which is
25 highly reflective to UV. Sample gas is introduced at one end of the illuminated cavity, exiting at
26 the other. NO in the sample exiting the converter is enhanced over the original by photolysis of
27 NO₂ or HONO, thus by calibration of the conversion efficiency these can be quantified.



1 Modifications were made to the control of the UV-LED elements to allow independent switching
 2 of the lamps. The wavelength of one lamp was changed from standard (395 nm) to 385 nm in
 3 order to overlap better with the HONO absorption spectrum, while the actual UV-LEDs (3 watt,
 4 LED Engin, Inc.) are more efficient and higher powered than those used in previous work (Reed
 5 et al., 2015).

6 The volume of the illuminated sample chamber is 16 mL which, with a standard flow rate of 1
 7 standard L per min⁻¹ gives a sample residence time of 0.96 seconds at standard atmospheric
 8 temperature and pressure (SATP). The NO₂ → NO conversion efficiency of the standard BLC
 9 with the sample flow of 1 standard L per min⁻¹ was ~89 % with both lamps illuminated.
 10 Individual lamp conversion efficiencies were 72.9 and 81.3 % ±0.1 for the 385 and 395 nm
 11 lamps respectively. Determination of the conversion efficiency is detailed in section 2.4.

12 **2.3 Characterisation**

13 Spectral radiograms of the UV-LEDs output were obtained using the same procedure and
 14 equipment described in Reed et al., (2015) using an Ocean Optics QE65000 spectral radiometer
 15 coupled to a 2π quartz collector within a light sealed chamber.

16 Figure 1 shows the measured spectral emission of two UV-LED units of two different
 17 wavelengths; 385 and 395 nm. Also shown is the absorption cross-section of HONO, BrONO₂,
 18 and the NO₂ quantum yield (Sander et al., 2006). It is clear that there is greater overlap,
 19 calculated to be 30%, of the HONO absorption features with the 385 nm LED than at 395 nm. In
 20 R2 we see that NO is produced stoichiometrically through the photolysis of HONO. In this way,
 21 illuminating an air sample at either wavelength yields a signal, we shall denote as NO₂[†]; which
 22 represents the sum of contributions from NO₂ and HONO (R1 + R2) in differing proportions
 23 depending upon wavelength.



26 The difference in NO₂[†] signal measured at 385 and 395 nm corresponds to the difference in
 27 conversion efficiency of HONO and NO₂ between the two wavelengths. Differences in NO₂



conversion efficiency of each lamp may be readily calibrated for and so taken into account (see section 2.4). The difference in NO_2^+ signal measured at 385 and 395 nm can therefore be used to calculate the HONO present in the sample Eq. (1);

$$\frac{\text{NO}_2^+_{385} - \text{NO}_2^+_{395}}{\text{HONO CE}_{385} - \text{HONO CE}_{395}} = [\text{HONO}] \quad (1)$$

Apparent HONO conversion efficiency (CE), $\text{HONO CE}_{385} - \text{HONO CE}_{395}$, is determined experimentally as described in section 2.4.

It is noted that at both 385 nm and 395 nm there is potential interference from BrONO_2 (or in fact any other compounds which photolyse to give NO at either wavelength), with similar spectral overlap (Figure 1). Assuming a quantum yield of 1 integrated over all wavelengths for BrONO_2 , 21.5 ppt of BrONO_2 at 385 nm and 18.1 ppt at 395 nm would be required to produce a 1 ppt error in the NO_2/HONO signal. Due to the low abundance (< 10 pptV) of BrONO_2 in the lower atmosphere (Yang et al., 2005), interference is therefore likely to be minimal (Pollack et al., 2011). The difference in conversion for the different lamps equates to a maximum error in HONO determination of 3.4 % [BrONO_2]; typically much less than 1 ppt.

The $\text{NO} + \text{OH}$ back reaction after an air sample has exited the photolytic converter, but before entering the high vacuum of the analyser, causing a decrease in signal from HONO is discussed in Sec. 2.4.

2.4 HONO and NO_2 Conversion Efficiencies

The $\text{NO}_2 - \text{HONO}$ converter system was calibrated for both NO_2 and HONO conversion efficiency. NO_2 conversion efficiencies were determined following the procedure outlined by Lee et al., (2009). The sensitivity of a detector in counts per second per part per trillion (cps/ppt) is determined by adding a 7.5 mL min^{-1} mass flow controlled flow (MFC) of NO calibration gas (4.78 ppm NO in N_2 , BOC) to the inlet of the analyser whilst sampling an overflow of zero air free from NO_x , VOC and ozone. This equates to a calibration concentration of 12.5 ppbV NO per channel. Zero air was generated by scrubbing dried (-40°C) compressed air using Sofnofil (Molecular Products) and activated charcoal (Sigma Aldrich) traps. As described by Reed et al., (2015) this combination results in the lowest NO_2 signal. The sensitivity was found to be ~ 6.8



1 and $\sim 6.4 (\pm 5\%)$ cps/ppt for the NO and NO_x channels, respectively. In order to determine the
 2 NO₂ converter efficiency a portion of the NO added to the inlet is first titrated to NO₂ by reaction
 3 with ozone, typically generating 10.0 ppbV NO₂. Ozone is generated by illuminating a small flow
 4 ($\sim 10 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$) of O₂ with a broad output low pressure mercury UV lamp (BHK Inc.) The
 5 analyser signal (photomultiplier counts in Hz) is then recorded with neither UV-LED
 6 illuminated, and then with each illuminated in turn to determine the increase in signal arising for
 7 each lamp. The conversion efficiency (CE) is then determined as in Eq. (2).

$$8 \quad CE = 1 - \frac{Signal_{Untitrated} - Signal_{Illuminated}}{Signal_{Untitrated} - Signal_{Titrated}} \quad (2)$$

9 The NO₂ conversion efficiency was determined to be 72.9 ($j = 1.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$) and 81.2 % ($j = 1.7 \text{ s}^{-1}$)
 10 ± 0.1 for the 385 and 395 nm lamps, respectively.

11 Calibration for HONO was achieved by sampling a permeation source over a range of dilutions
 12 using methods modified from Taira and Kanda, (1990) and Febo et al., (1995). Nitrous acid was
 13 generated by the reaction of hydrochloric acid with sodium nitrite salt as described by Febo et
 14 al., (1995) shown in reaction 3.



16 In order to achieve a continuous source of HONO, a permeation tube (Kin-Tek, HRT-010.00-
 17 BLANK/U) was filled with HCl (37%, Fluka, AR grade) and placed in a thermostated (30 to 55
 18 °C) permeation oven (Kin-Tek, 585) with NaNO₂ salt (Fluka, AR grade). The permeation oven
 19 was flushed with 1.5 standard L min⁻¹ zero air. The reaction is limited by HCl which permeates at
 20 a low rate thus allowing low concentrations (<50 ppb) of HONO to be generated continuously.

21 As side products of reaction 3 can also be produced, the output of the permeation source was
 22 continuously analysed for impurities. In reaction 4 NO and NO₂ can be formed by the gas phase
 23 self-reaction of HONO. In reaction 5, HNO₃ can be formed by reaction between adsorbed and
 24 gas phase HONO.





1 To quantify HONO without any direct measurement and close the nitrogen balance, NO, NO₂,
 2 and total NO_y (NO + NO₂ + other reactive oxidised nitrogen species such as HNO₃, HONO,
 3 PAN) were measured continuously. The differential photolysis instrument itself was used to
 4 quantify the NO. NO₂ was measured directly by Cavity Attenuated Phase Shift (CAPS)
 5 spectroscopy (Kebabian et al., 2005, 2008) using an EPA certified Teledyne API T500U, to
 6 avoid any HONO interference (which would have been present in a photolytic measurement).
 7 Total NO_y was quantified using a Thermo Environmental 42c TL NO_x analyser equipped with a
 8 molybdenum catalytic converter which has been shown to quantify NO_y species such as HONO
 9 and HNO₃ (Clemitshaw, 2004; Fehsenfeld et al., 1987; Villena et al., 2012; Williams et al.,
 10 1998). The TEI 42c TL and Teledyne API T500U were calibrated either directly with an NO
 11 standard or by gas phase titration of NO to NO₂ using a Monitor Europe S6100 Multi Gas
 12 Calibrator. Production of HNO₃ (R5) would be indicated by an enhancement in NO over NO₂, as
 13 NO and NO₂ are produced stoichiometrically through the self-reaction of HONO (R4), whereas
 14 HNO₃ production consumes NO₂ and produces NO. Thus, HNO₃ can be indirectly quantified by
 15 the NO: NO₂ ratio, and was found to be a minimal contribution to total NO_y. As such, HONO
 16 can reasonably be presumed to be equivalent to [NO_y] – ([NO] + [NO₂] + [HNO₃]). Measured
 17 quantities are shown in table 1.

18

19 The stability of the HONO permeation source was recorded over a 12 hour period using NO_x
 20 measured by the differential photolysis analyser (the most sensitive measurement available) as a
 21 proxy for NO, NO₂, and HONO. The stability was found to be ±0.01 ppb h⁻¹, with a standard
 22 deviation of 0.4 ppb. The uncertainty in the HONO source is determined by a combination of the
 23 accuracy of the NO, NO₂, and NO_y measurements and their respective calibrations. The NO
 24 calibration uncertainty, due to MFC flows and standard gas accuracy is 5%, similarly for the
 25 CAPS NO₂ and Thermo 42i TL NO_y. This results in an overall uncertainty in [HONO] of 8.7%.

26 In Fig. 2 the observed conversion of HONO, that is the difference between HONO conversion by
 27 the 385 and 395 nm lamps, is shown. As can be seen HONO conversion is consistently 6.54 ±
 28 0.21 % more at 385nm than 395 nm. The fact that the ‘apparent HONO conversion’ (HONO
 29 CE₃₈₅ – HONO CE₃₉₅ in Eq. 1) is constant as a function of HONO means that the determination of



[HONO] should be a linear function of the difference in NO_2^{\dagger} signal at 385 and 395 nm. This apparent HONO conversion determines the limit of detection, which is the ability of the analyser to discriminate the difference in signal arising from photolysis at the two different wavelengths from photon counting noise. With an apparent conversion of $6.54 \pm 0.21 \%$ the LOD with a sensitivity of 6.4 cps/ppt is 40 ppt min^{-1} . The uncertainty in the apparent conversion is a combination of the uncertainty in the HONO source, and in the NO_2 conversion efficiencies of the two lamps. This results in an overall uncertainty of 12.2%.

The effect of the back reaction of $\text{OH} + \text{NO}$, reforming HONO, before detection of NO, thus reducing the NO signal in the NO_x/HONO measurement in the presence of HONO was calculated using a box model in FACSMILE kinetic modelling software (MCPA Software Ltd.). Kinetic data for O_x , HO_x , and NO_x reactions taken from IUPAC Evaluated Kinetic Data (Atkinson et al., 2004). The residence time between an air sample exiting the photolysis cell and entering the high vacuum of the NO analyser through the ~ 25 cm of $\frac{1}{4}$ inch PFA tubing is 0.11 s. The air sample is a mixture of mostly NO, O_3 , OH, and unconverted NO_2 . The absence of UV irradiation results in chemistry analogous to night-time NO_x chemistry with the addition of a significant OH source. The box model was initiated with NO, NO_3 , O_3 , and OH concentrations calculated to be at the outlet of the photolysis cell at each of the eight calibration points shown previously. The interference from the $\text{OH} + \text{NO}$ reaction was determined as the decrease in [NO] during the 0.11 s residence time as a percentage of measured [HONO]. The discrepancy was calculated to vary linearly with [HONO] from -0.97 to -2.10 %, with differences between lamps well within the accuracy of the calibration. The degree of interference from OH in NO_2 and HONO determination was found to be a function of $k([\text{OH}] + [\text{NO}])$ on the timescale here (0.11 s). Reducing the residence time after the photolysis cell would reduce the error in HONO and NO_2 (in the presence of HONO). Conversely, a system with a suitably long residence time between the photolysis cell and detector may experience little-to-no HONO interference as the $\text{OH} + \text{NO}$ back reaction begins to dominate. There is of course a trade off in that the data must be corrected for ambient ozone affecting the $\text{NO}:\text{NO}_2$ ratio. It is important to note that there can never be any negative interference in NO_2 caused by the presence of HONO, only positive or none.



1 Outside of calibration the effect of the OH back reaction with NO is likely to be less significant
2 due to the presence of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) which also react with OH with
3 comparable rates to NO. It is therefore difficult to know the absolute HONO conversion of each
4 UV-LED without very accurate OH reactivity/VOC concentration measurements. Due to these
5 unknowns, it would not be possible to correct the NO₂ signal for HONO interference as might be
6 hoped.

7 **3 Results and discussion**

8 The pHONO instrument was evaluated in an atmospheric simulation chamber (section 3.1) and
9 compared in the field side-by-side with LOPAP (section 3.2).

10 **3.1 Chamber measurements**

11 The Highly Instrumented Reactor for Atmospheric Chemistry (HIRAC) is a simulation chamber
12 facility based at the School of Chemistry, University of Leeds (Glowacki et al., 2007a). HIRAC
13 is a cylindrical stainless steel chamber with a total volume of ~2.25 m³, containing four fans for
14 mixing throughout the chamber, and with a total mixing time of ~60 s. The stainless steel
15 structure of HIRAC allows for pressure dependent experiments to be carried out, over the range
16 of ~10 – 1000 mbar. Numerous sample ports are located around the chamber allowing the
17 attaching of instruments or introduction of gas. A multi pass Fourier Transform - Infrared (FT-
18 IR) instrument (Bruker IFS/66, 128.52 m path length) is present to allow spectra of the gas
19 within the chamber to be taken (Glowacki et al., 2007b). HIRAC is also capable of operating
20 over a range of temperatures (-40 to 70°C).

21 Experiments were carried out at ambient temperature (20 °C) and pressure (1000 mbar), whilst
22 the chamber was kept dark. HIRAC was filled with 80 % N₂ (BOC, UHP, 99.998 %) and 20 %
23 O₂ (BOC) before HONO was synthesised external to the chamber following a modified
24 procedure described previously by (Taira and Kanda, 1990). A 1 % aqueous sodium nitrite
25 solution was added dropwise to a 30 % aqueous solution of sulfuric acid. The resulting reaction
26 (R6) produces HONO, which was added directly to the chamber via a continuous flow of N₂
27 over the reaction mixture. This is analogous to the permeation source however, side products
28 need not be considered due to the direct HONO measurement afforded by FT-IR.



2 FT-IR spectra were taken at 60 second intervals with a spectral resolution of 1 cm^{-1} , whilst the
 3 differential photolysis analyser sampled from the chamber. Dilution of the HONO, NO, NO_2
 4 mixture was achieved by partial evacuation of the chamber and subsequent refilling with
 5 synthetic air (N_2/O_2). The average HONO concentration determined from the average of two
 6 distinct absorbance lines at 1264 cm^{-1} (*trans*-HONO, Q-branch) and 853 cm^{-1} (*cis*-HONO, Q-
 7 Branch) in the FT-IR using absorptivity data taken from University of Wuppertal internal FT-IR
 8 cross-section database, courtesy of I. Bejan via personal communication. The absorptivity data
 9 were $7.60 \times 10^{-4} \pm 2.90 \times 10^{-5}\text{ ppm}^{-1}\text{ m}^{-1}$ (1264 cm^{-1} , *trans*-HONO) and $5.48 \times 10^{-4} \pm 2.60 \times 10^{-5}\text{ ppm}^{-1}$
 10 m^{-1} (853 cm^{-1} , *cis*-HONO). Some of the spectra used in quantification are shown in Fig. 3.

11 Figure 4 shows the strong, positive correlation between the HONO measured by differential
 12 photolysis and by FT-IR within the HIRAC chamber up to $\sim 150\text{ ppbV}$, deviating at higher
 13 mixing ratios.

14 Figure 4 shows that at lower HONO mixing ratios, $< 150\text{ ppb}$, there is better agreement between
 15 the pHONO and FT-IR measurements, whereas the response of the differential photolysis
 16 technique appears to be suppressed at high [HONO]. This is a result of how a photolytic
 17 converter operates as expressed by Eq. (3) (Ryerson et al., 2000). Here t is the residence time
 18 within the photolysis cell and $k[\text{Ox}]$ is the concentration and rate constant of any oxidant that
 19 reacts with NO. Typically this would be ozone, however, OH formed from HONO photolysis
 20 must also be considered.

21
$$CE = \left[\frac{jt}{jt+k[\text{Ox}]t} \right] [1 - \exp(-jt-k[\text{Ox}]t)]$$
 (3)

22 Having two LEDs with different HONO absorption overlap results in two values for $j(\text{HONO})$.
 23 Using the $j(\text{NO}_2)$ values already found (1.3 & 1.7 s^{-1}) as an easily determined proxy for $j(\text{HONO})$
 24 the change in conversion with oxidant concentration can be approximated.

25 Figure 5 shows how the percentage conversion of any precursor that dissociates to NO, in this
 26 case HONO and NO_2 , changes with increasing oxidant concentration. In the case of O_3 the total
 27 conversion decreases linearly with increasing [Ox], whilst the difference between the two



1 remains constant (9%). Conversely, with OH, conversion decays exponentially in total, and as a
 2 difference between two LEDs of different j . This effect can be seen clearly above 150 ppbV
 3 HONO in Fig. 4. Below 150 ppbV a constant difference in conversion of 6.54% is a reasonable
 4 approximation.

5 The high HONO mixing ratios within HIRAC, necessary to be detected by FT-IR (LOD \sim 40
 6 ppb), were several orders of magnitude higher than would be expected in the atmosphere where
 7 ppt (Beine et al., 2006; Ren et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2009, 2012) to low ppb (Acker et al., 2006;
 8 Febo et al., 1996; Hendrick et al., 2014; Kanaya et al., 2007; Stutz, 2004) are typical. Thus, this
 9 non-linearity at high [HONO] is unlikely to pose a serious limitation of the differential
 10 photolysis method, with the possible exception of areas with very high NO_x backgrounds. This
 11 could be partially mitigated by having greater photolysis power at 385 nm, in combination with
 12 moving to shorter wavelengths with better overlap with the HONO absorption cross-section. It is
 13 clear in Fig. 1 that the 385 nm UV-LED has significantly lower light output than at 395 nm; this
 14 is reflected in their respective NO_2 conversion efficiencies (72.9 and 81.3%). Alternatively,
 15 separate 385 and 395 nm converters can be employed working in parallel, thus doubling the
 16 number of UV-LEDs and doubling the photolysis power at each respective wavelength. This
 17 would also allow for fast measurement simultaneously i.e. 1 Hz or faster. Alternatively, the
 18 lower conversion efficiency at high [HONO] could be calibrated for, though as shown in the
 19 following section, in typical atmospheric conditions no calibration or correction was required.

20 **3.2 Field measurements**

21 The Weybourne Atmospheric Observatory (Penkett et al., 1999) is a regional GAW station
 22 located on the North Norfolk coast, UK (52°57'01.5"N 1°07'19"E). The WAO has a long history
 23 of atmospheric measurements stretching back to its inception in 1994. During summer 2015, the
 24 WAO was host to the Integrated Chemistry of Ozone in the Atmosphere (ICOZA) campaign,
 25 ostensibly measuring ozone production rates. As part of the campaign a Long Path Absorption
 26 Photometer (LOPAP-03, QUMA GmbH) (Heland et al., 2001) was deployed in order to measure
 27 HONO. Alongside the LOPAP, the NO , NO_2 , HONO (Differential photolysis) instrument
 28 described in section 2.1 measured concurrently at a 1 minute time resolution.



1 During the ICOZA campaign, a high variation of HONO concentrations (up to ~ 500 ppt) was
2 observed by the LOPAP on the 1st and 2nd of July providing an ideal opportunity for comparison
3 between the two methods. The pHONO was deployed with replacement UV-LEDs with greater
4 output. Both the 385 and 395 nm lamps had the same photon flux, indicated by identical NO₂
5 conversion efficiencies (~ 89%), in the expectation that better HONO conversion, and therefore
6 sensitivity, would be achieved. The estimated increase in overlap with the HONO adsorption
7 spectrum of the new 385 nm LED was 45% compared to 30% calculated for the original LED.
8 Thus lamps were installed as-is without calibration to mitigate the fall in output over time that
9 affects the LEDs, particularly the 385 nm LED. The decreasing output is believed to be a result
10 of the power control circuitry of the LEDs which does not limit the current draw immediately
11 after power is supplied, only after a few seconds. This means every time the lamp is switched on
12 it outputs its maximum (with corresponding heat), which, when used in a 30 s⁻¹ switching mode
13 as here shortens the life considerably.

14 The pHONO instrument sampled from an inlet box (also housing a NO_y converter) located ~ 4 m
15 from ground level on the sampling tower at Weybourne. The sample point was connected to the
16 instrument by a 12 m ¼ inch PFA line (Swagelok) which was shared by the CAPS NO₂
17 instrument, thus the flowrate was ~ 3 standard L min⁻¹, resulting in a residence time of ~ 3
18 seconds. The LOPAP instrument, which has its own inlet, sampled from the roof of a specially
19 converted van located 20 m away upslope. Consequently, both instruments sampled at a similar
20 height and there was clear, unobstructed line-of-sight between them. The pHONO inlet was only
21 ~1 m above the Weybourne observatory roof which may have contributed to the turbulent
22 dynamics observed in the data. The pHONO instrument was calibrated for sensitivity in ambient
23 air twice nightly at 00:00 and 04:00 am; NO offset was taken between these times. NO₂
24 conversion efficiencies were determined in zero air once per week. Limits of detection were 1.5
25 ppt min⁻¹ and 1.9 ppt min⁻¹ for NO and NO₂, respectively. The LOPAP was operated and
26 calibrated according to the standard procedures described in Kleffmann and Wiesen, (2008), with
27 a detection limit of 3 pptV and time resolution of 5 minutes. Zero measurements using high
28 purity N₂ (N5 grade, BOC) were performed every 12 hours on the LOPAP.

29 Figure 6 shows the HONO time series from both the LOPAP and pHONO instruments during
30 three days of high HONO measurements.



1 There is reasonable agreement between the established LOPAP method of HONO measurement
 2 and that provided by the pHONO instrument without correction or calibration (Fig. 6). During
 3 the high ozone and high HONO events observed on the 1st and 2nd especially there is very good
 4 agreement between the two. Gaps in the data represent times where the pHONO limit of
 5 determination was reached; where there are too few points in the averaging window after
 6 statistical analysis of the data to be meaningful. This is because in real atmospheric conditions
 7 the pHONO instrument is hampered by the time resolution that data is collected i.e. if there is
 8 strong turbulence, meaning the NO₂ or HONO concentration varies rapidly on a timescale
 9 shorter than that at which data is collected, then wide scatter is observed as was the case at
 10 Weybourne. Strong boundary layer transport meant that NO₂ measurement varied up to 1.5 ppb
 11 in a minute. This is because of the way the data must be processed by interpolating between
 12 measurements and subtraction of the 395 nm signal from the 385 nm signal. Decreasing the time
 13 between photolysis switching (from 30 s) would obviously decrease this effect, but ultimately,
 14 separate 385 nm (or lower) and 395 nm analyser channels are required. Consequently the data
 15 analysis routine for the pHONO data includes tests for the variability of the data, discarding
 16 points which show >5% variation from the subsequent point. Data failing this test is discarded
 17 and results in gaps; this is the effective limit of determination. The data is then treated with a
 18 robust-LOESS (Cleveland, 1979) algorithm to remove extreme values. The gaps in the time
 19 series of LOPAP (Fig 5) were due to the removal of zero measurements and false spikes due to
 20 bubbles passing the detector.

21 Figure 7 demonstrates the level of agreement in the measured HONO concentration by the
 22 LOPAP and pHONO methods from 1st and 2nd July. From Fig. 7, the observed correlation (r^2 of
 23 0.68) suggests the replacement UV-LEDs had the desired effect without the application of
 24 corrections for the HONO conversion efficiency. The slope of ~ 0.91 suggests that the new 385
 25 nm lamp was able to convert the majority of HONO. The discrepancy suggests that $\sim 9\%$ of
 26 HONO was converted by the 395 nm lamp. The scatter evident in Fig. 7 at low mixing ratios
 27 may be due to atmospheric dynamic effects resulting in a rapidly changing NO₂ background on
 28 timescales faster than the response of the instrument (30 s^{-1}). A positive 5 pptV positive
 29 intercept indicates a small systematic off-set in the pHONO instrument.



1 Accuracy and uncertainty in unstable conditions could be improved by measuring at the two
2 different wavelengths concurrently, rather than consecutively. In the same way photolytic NO₂
3 measurement is improved by measuring concurrently with NO, rather than consecutively. This
4 would require three chemiluminescent analysers in parallel, with two photolytic converters.
5 However, in ambient indoor air quality monitoring, where HONO is seen as increasingly
6 important (Gligorovski, 2016), a simple single channel, dual wavelength design might be
7 appropriate and useful.

8 **4 Conclusions**

9 An instrument for *in-situ* determination of HONO photolytically has been developed,
10 characterized and deployed in the field as a proof-of-concept. During an atmospheric simulation
11 chamber comparison, the HONO measured corresponded well with FT-IR measurement. During
12 field tests the photolytic HONO instrument agreed reasonably well with the established LOPAP
13 instrument, though the limitations of having a 2-channel sequential measurement were apparent
14 at times; this would be easily overcome in a 3-channel concurrent system. Calibration would
15 gain from a pure HONO source; currently the pHONO calibration requires an independent, direct
16 NO₂ measurement and NO_y measurement.

17 **Acknowledgments**

18 The authors would like to express their gratitude to Dr Marty Buhr of Air Quality Design inc.
19 their support, and Dr Lisa Whalley of Leeds for Spectral Radiometer/calibration equipment. Dr
20 Iusti Bejan, formerly of Leeds, receives thanks for his invaluable guidance in HONO
21 quantification within HIRAC. The financial support of the Engineering and Physical Sciences
22 Research Council (EPSRC) for the studentship of Charlotte Brumby, and the financial support of
23 NCAS, the National Centre for Atmospheric Science, and of NERC, the Natural Environmental
24 Research Council for supporting the studentship of Chris Reed are gratefully acknowledged.

25 **References**

26 Acker, K., Febo, A., Trick, S., Perrino, C., Bruno, P., Wiesen, P., Möller, Wieprecht, W., Auel,
27 R., Giusto, M., Geyer, A., Platt, U. and Allegrini, I.: Nitrous acid in the urban area of Rome,
28 Atmos. Environ., 40(17), 3123–3133, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.01.028, 2006.



- 1 Acker, K., Möller, D., Auel, R., Wieprecht, W. and Kalaß, D.: Concentrations of nitrous acid,
- 2 nitric acid, nitrite and nitrate in the gas and aerosol phase at a site in the emission zone during
- 3 ESCOMPTE 2001 experiment, *Atmos. Res.*, 74(1-4), 507–524,
- 4 doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2004.04.009, 2005.
- 5 Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin,
- 6 M. E., Rossi, M. J. and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric
- 7 chemistry: Volume I - gas phase reactions of O_x, HO_x, NO_x and SO_x species, *Atmos. Chem.*
- 8 *Phys.*, 4(6), 1461–1738, doi:10.5194/acp-4-1461-2004, 2004.
- 9 Beine, H., Colussi, A. J., Amoroso, A., Esposito, G., Montagnoli, M. and Hoffmann, M. R.:
- 10 HONO emissions from snow surfaces, *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 3(4), 045005, doi:10.1088/1748-
- 11 9326/3/4/045005, 2008.
- 12 Beine, H. J., Amoroso, A., Domine, F., King, M. D., Nardino, M., Ianniello, A. and France, J. L.:
- 13 Surprisingly small HONO emissions from snow surfaces at Browning Pass, Antarctica, *Atmos.*
- 14 *Chem. Phys.*, 6, 2569–2580, doi:10.5194/acp-6-2569-2006, 2006.
- 15 Bröske, R., Kleffmann, J. and Wiesen, P.: Heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ on secondary
- 16 organic aerosol surfaces: A possible source of nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmosphere?, *Atmos.*
- 17 *Chem. Phys.*, 3(3), 469–474, doi:10.5194/acp-3-469-2003, 2003.
- 18 Buhr, M.: Measurement of NO₂ in ambient air using a solid-state photolytic converter, in
- 19 Symposium on Air Quality Measurement Methods and Technology 2004. 20 - 22 April 2004, pp.
- 20 165–171, Cary, NC, USA., 2004.
- 21 Buhr, M.: Solid-state light source photolytic nitrogen dioxide converter, US 7238328 B2, 3 July
- 22 2007.
- 23 Clemitshaw, K. C.: A Review of Instrumentation and Measurement Techniques for Ground-
- 24 Based and Airborne Field Studies of Gas-Phase Tropospheric Chemistry, *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci.*
- 25 *Technol.*, 34(1), 1–108, doi:10.1080/10643380490265117, 2004.
- 26 Cleveland, W. S.: Robust Locally Weighted Regression and Smoothing Scatterplots, *J. Am. Stat.*
- 27 *Assoc.*, 74(368), 829–836, doi:10.2307/2286407, 1979.
- 28 Elshorbany, Y. F., Kurtenbach, R., Wiesen, P., Lissi, E., Rubio, M., Villena, G., Gramsch, E.,
- 29 Rickard, A. R., Pilling, M. J. and Kleffmann, J.: Oxidation capacity of the city air of Santiago,
- 30 Chile, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 8(6), 19123–19171, doi:10.5194/acpd-8-19123-2008, 2008.
- 31 Febo, A., Perrino, C. and Allegrini, I.: Measurement of Nitrous Acid in Milan , Italy, By Doas
- 32 and Diffusion Denuders, *Atmos. Environ.*, 30(21), 3599–3609, doi:10.1016/1352-
- 33 2310(96)00069-6, 1996.
- 34 Febo, A., Perrino, C. and Cortiello, M.: A denuder technique for the measurement of nitrous acid
- 35 in urban atmospheres, *Atmos. Environ. Part A. Gen. Top.*, 27(11), 1721–1728,
- 36 doi:10.1016/0960-1686(93)90235-Q, 1993.
- 37 Febo, A., Perrino, C., Gherardi, M. and Sparapani, R.: Evaluation of a High-Purity and High-
- 38 Stability Continuous Generation System for Nitrous Acid, *Environ. Sci.*, 29(9), 2390–2395,
- 39 doi:10.1021/es00009a035, 1995.
- 40 Fehsenfeld, F. C., Dickerson, R. R., Hobler, G., Luke, W. T., Nunnermacker, L. J., Roberts, J.



- 1 M., Curran, C. M., Eubank, C. S., Fahey, D. W., Mindplay, P. C. and Pickering, K. E.: A
- 2 Ground-Based Intercomparison of NO, NO_x, and NO_y Measurement Techniques, *J. Geophys.*
- 3 *Res.*, 92(7), 710–722, doi:10.1029/JD092iD12p14710, 1987.
- 4 Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Wingen, L. M., Sumner, A. L., Syomin, D. and Ramazan, K. A.: The
- 5 heterogeneous hydrolysis of NO₂ in laboratory systems and in outdoor and indoor atmospheres:
- 6 An integrated mechanism, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 5(2), 223–242, doi:10.1039/B208564J,
- 7 2003.
- 8 Gligorovski, S.: Nitrous acid (HONO): An emerging indoor pollutant, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*
- 9 *A Chem.*, 314, 1–5, doi:10.1016/j.jphotochem.2015.06.008, 2016.
- 10 Glowacki, D. R., Goddard, A., Hemavibool, K., Malkin, T. L., Commane, R., Anderson, F.,
- 11 Bloss, W. J., Heard, D. E., Ingham, T., Pilling, M. J. and Seakins, P. W.: Design of and initial
- 12 results from a highly instrumented reactor for atmospheric chemistry (HIRAC), *Atmos. Chem.*
- 13 *Phys. Discuss.*, 7, 10687–10742, doi:10.5194/acpd-7-10687-2007, 2007a.
- 14 Glowacki, D. R., Goddard, A. and Seakins, P. W.: Design and performance of a throughput-
- 15 matched, zero-geometric-loss, modified three objective multipass matrix system for FTIR
- 16 spectrometry, *Appl. Opt.*, 46(32), 7872–7883, doi:10.1364/AO.46.007872, 2007b.
- 17 Gutzwiller, L., Arens, F., Baltensperger, U., Gäggeler, H. W. and Ammann, M.: Significance of
- 18 semivolatile diesel exhaust organics for secondary HONO formation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*,
- 19 36(4), 677–682, doi:10.1021/es015673b, 2002.
- 20 Heland, J., Kleffmann, J., Kurtenbach, R. and Wiesen, P.: A new instrument to measure gaseous
- 21 nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmosphere, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 35(15), 3207–3212,
- 22 doi:10.1021/es000303t, 2001.
- 23 Hendrick, F., Clémer, K., Wang, P., De Mazière, M., Fayt, C., Gielen, C., Hermans, C., Ma, J.
- 24 Z., Pinardi, G., Stavrou, T., Vlemmix, T. and Van Roozendaal, M.: Four years of ground-
- 25 based MAX-DOAS observations of HONO and NO₂ in the Beijing area, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
- 26 14(2), 765–781, doi:10.5194/acp-14-765-2014, 2014.
- 27 Ianniello, A., Beine, H. J., Landis, M. S., Stevens, R. K., Esposito, G., Amoroso, A. and
- 28 Allegrini, I.: Comparing field performances of denuder techniques in the high Arctic, *Atmos.*
- 29 *Environ.*, 41(8), 1604–1615, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.10.040, 2007.
- 30 Kanaya, Y., Cao, R., Akimoto, H., Fukuda, M., Komazaki, Y., Yokouchi, Y., Koike, M.,
- 31 Tanimoto, H., Takegawa, N. and Kondo, Y.: Urban photochemistry in central Tokyo: 1.
- 32 Observed and modeled OH and HO₂ radical concentrations during the winter and summer of
- 33 2004, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112(D21), D21312, doi:10.1029/2007JD008670, 2007.
- 34 Kebabian, P. L., Herndon, S. C. and Freedman, A.: Detection of nitrogen dioxide by cavity
- 35 attenuated phase shift spectroscopy, *Anal. Chem.*, 77(2), 724–728, doi:10.1021/ac048715y,
- 36 2005.
- 37 Kebabian, P. L., Wood, E. C., Herndon, S. C. and Freedman, A.: A practical alternative to
- 38 chemiluminescence-based detection of nitrogen dioxide: Cavity attenuated phase shift
- 39 spectroscopy, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42(16), 6040–6045, doi:10.1021/es703204j, 2008.
- 40 Kim, S., VandenBoer, T. C., Young, C. J., Riedel, T. P., Thornton, J. A., Swarthout, B., Sive, B.,



- 1 Lerner, B. M., Gilman, J. B., Warneke, C., Roberts, J. M., Guenther, A., Wagner, N. L., Dubé,
- 2 W. P., Williams, E. J. and Brown, S. S.: The primary and recycling sources of OH during the
- 3 NACHTT-2011 campaign: HONO as an important OH primary source in the wintertime, J.
- 4 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119(11), 6886–6896, doi:10.1002/2013JD021272, Received, 2014.
- 5 Kirchstetter, T. W., Harley, R. A. and Littlejohn, D.: Measurement of Nitrous Acid in Motor
- 6 Vehicle Exhaust, Environ. Sci. Technol., 30(9), 2843–2849, doi:10.1021/es960135y, 1996.
- 7 Kleffmann, J.: Daytime sources of nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmospheric boundary layer,
- 8 ChemPhysChem, 8(8), 1137–1144, doi:10.1002/cphc.200700016, 2007.
- 9 Kleffmann, J., Lörzer, J. C., Wiesen, P., Kern, C., Trick, S., Volkamer, R., Rodenas, M. and
- 10 Wirtz, K.: Intercomparison of the DOAS and LOPAP techniques for the detection of nitrous acid
- 11 (HONO), Atmos. Environ., 40(20), 3640–3652, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.03.027, 2006.
- 12 Kleffmann, J., Villena Tapia, G., Bejan, I., Kurtenbach, R. and Wiesen, P.: NO₂ Measurement
- 13 Techniques: Pitfalls and New Developments, edited by I. Barnes and K. J. Rudziński, NATO
- 14 Sci. Peace Secur. Ser. C Environ. Secur., 120(2), 15–28, doi:10.1007/978-94-007-5034-0, 2013.
- 15 Kleffmann, J. and Wiesen, P.: Technical note: Quantification of interferences of wet chemical
- 16 HONO LOPAP measurements under simulated polar conditions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8(22),
- 17 6813–6822, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6813-2008, 2008.
- 18 Kurtenbach, R., Becker, K. H., Gomes, J. A. G., Kleffmann, J., Lörzer, J. C., Spittler, M.,
- 19 Wiesen, P., Ackermann, R., Geyer, A. and Platt, U.: Investigations of emissions and
- 20 heterogeneous formation of HONO in a road traffic tunnel, Atmos. Environ., 35(20), 3385–3394,
- 21 doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00138-8, 2001.
- 22 Lammel, G. and Cape, J. N.: Nitrous acid and nitrite in the atmosphere, Chem. Soc. Rev., 25(5),
- 23 361, doi:10.1039/cs9962500361, 1996.
- 24 Lee, B. H., Wood, E. C., Zahniser, M. S., McManus, J. B., Nelson, D. D., Herndon, S. C.,
- 25 Santoni, G. W., Wofsy, S. C. and Munger, J. W.: Simultaneous measurements of atmospheric
- 26 HONO and NO₂ via absorption spectroscopy using tunable mid-infrared continuous-wave
- 27 quantum cascade lasers, Appl. Phys. B Lasers Opt., 102(2), 417–423, doi:10.1007/s00340-010-
- 28 4266-5, 2011.
- 29 Lee, J. D., Moller, S. J., Read, K. A., Lewis, A. C., Mendes, L. and Carpenter, L. J.: Year-round
- 30 measurements of nitrogen oxides and ozone in the tropical North Atlantic marine boundary layer,
- 31 J. Geophys. Res., 114, doi:10.1029/2009JD011878, 2009.
- 32 Lee, J. D., Whalley, L. K., Heard, D. E., Stone, D., Dunmore, R. E., Hamilton, J. F., Young, D.
- 33 E., Allan, J. D., Laufs, S. and Kleffmann, J.: Detailed budget analysis of HONO in central
- 34 London reveals a missing daytime source., 2015.
- 35 Levy II, H.: Photochemistry of minor constituents in the troposphere, Planet. Space Sci., 21(4),
- 36 575–591, doi:10.1016/0032-0633(73)90071-8, 1973.
- 37 Levy, M., Zhang, R., Zheng, J., Zhang, A. L., Xu, W., Gomez-Hernandez, M., Wang, Y. and
- 38 Olaguer, E.: Measurements of nitrous acid (HONO) using ion drift-chemical ionization mass
- 39 spectrometry during the 2009 SHARP field campaign, Atmos. Environ., 94(2), 231–240,
- 40 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.05.024, 2014.




- 1 Markovic, M. Z., VandenBoer, T. C. and Murphy, J. G.: Characterization and optimization of an
2 online system for the simultaneous measurement of atmospheric water-soluble constituents in the
3 gas and particle phases, *J. Environ. Monit.*, 14(7), 1872, doi:10.1039/c2em00004k, 2012.
- 4 Oswald, R., Behrendt, T., Ermel, M., Wu, D., Su, H., Cheng, Y., Breuninger, C., Moravek, A.,
5 Mougin, E., Delon, C., Loubet, B., Pommerening-Röser, A., Sörgel, M., Pöschl, U., Hoffmann,
6 T., Andreae, M. O., Meixner, F. X. and Trebs, I.: HONO emissions from soil bacteria as a major
7 source of atmospheric reactive nitrogen., *Science*, 341(6151), 1233–5,
8 doi:10.1126/science.1242266, 2013.
- 9 Penkett, S. a., Plane, J. M. C., Comes, F. J., Clemitshaw, K. C. and Coe, H.: The Weybourne
10 Atmospheric Observatory, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 33(2), 107–110, doi:10.1023/A:1026428102821,
11 1999.
- 12 Pollack, I. B., Lerner, B. M. and Ryerson, T. B.: Evaluation of ultraviolet light-emitting diodes
13 for detection of atmospheric NO₂ by photolysis - chemiluminescence, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 65(2-3),
14 111–125, doi:10.1007/s10874-011-9184-3, 2011.
- 15 Pusede, S. E., Gentner, D. R., Wooldridge, P. J., Browne, E. C., Rollins, A. W., Min, K.-E.,
16 Russell, A. R., Thomas, J., Zhang, L., Brune, W. H., Henry, S. B., DiGangi, J. P., Keutsch, F. N.,
17 Harrold, S. A., Thornton, J. A., Beaver, M. R., St. Clair, J. M., Wennberg, P. O., Sanders, J.,
18 Ren, X., VandenBoer, T. C., Markovic, M. Z., Guha, A., Weber, R., Goldstein, A. H. and Cohen,
19 R. C.: On the temperature dependence of organic reactivity, nitrogen oxides, ozone production,
20 and the impact of emission controls in San Joaquin Valley, California, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
21 14(7), 3373–3395, doi:10.5194/acp-14-3373-2014, 2014.
- 22 Reed, C., Evans, M. J., Di Carlo, P., Lee, J. D. and Carpenter, L. J.: Interferences in photolytic
23 NO₂ measurements: explanation for an apparent missing oxidant?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*
24 Discuss., 15(20), 28699–28747, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-28699-2015, 2015.
- 25 Ren, X., Gao, H., Zhou, X., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., Browne, E. C., LaFranchi, B. W.,
26 Cohen, R. C., McKay, M., Goldstein, A. H. and Mao, J.: Measurement of atmospheric nitrous
27 acid at Blodgett Forest during BEARPEX2007, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10(13), 6501,
28 doi:10.5194/acp-10-6283-2010, 2010.
- 29 Ren, X., Sanders, J. E., Rajendran, a., Weber, R. J., Goldstein, a. H., Pusede, S. E., Browne, E.
30 C., Min, K. E. and Cohen, R. C.: A relaxed eddy accumulation system for measuring vertical
31 fluxes of nitrous acid, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4(10), 2093–2103, doi:10.5194/amt-4-2093-2011,
32 2011.
- 33 Roberts, J. M., Veres, P., Warneke, C., Neuman, J. A., Washenfelter, R. A., Brown, S. S.,
34 Baasandorj, M., Burkholder, J. B., Burling, I. R., Johnson, T. J., Yokelson, R. J. and De Gouw,
35 J.: Measurement of HONO, HNCO, and other inorganic acids by negative-ion proton-transfer
36 chemical-ionization mass spectrometry (NI-PT-CIMS): Application to biomass burning
37 emissions, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 3, 981–990, doi:10.5194/amt-3-981-2010, 2010.
- 38 Ródenas, M., Muñoz, A., Alacreu, F., Brauers, T., Dorn, H.-P., Kleffmann, J. and Bloss, W.:
39 Assessment of HONO Measurements: The FIONA Campaign at EUPHORE, in *Disposal of*
40 *Dangerous Chemicals in Urban Areas and Megacities*, NATO Science for Peace & Security
41 Series C: Environmental Security, pp. 45–58., 2013.



- 1 Ryerson, T. B., Williams, E. J. and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: An efficient photolysis system for fast-
2 response NO₂ measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105(2), 26,447–26,461,
3 doi:10.1029/2000JD900389, 2000.
- 4 Sadanaga, Y., Fukumori, Y., Kobashi, T., Nagata, M., Takenaka, N. and Bandow, H.:
5 Development of a selective light-emitting diode photolytic NO₂ converter for continuously
6 measuring NO₂ in the atmosphere, *Anal. Chem.*, 82(2), 9234–9239, doi:10.1021/ac101703z,
7 2010.
- 8 Sadanaga, Y., Suzuki, K., Yoshimoto, T. and Bandow, H.: Direct measurement system of
9 nitrogen dioxide in the atmosphere using a blue light-emitting diode induced fluorescence
10 technique., *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 85(6), 064101, doi:10.1063/1.4879821, 2014.
- 11 Sander, S. P., Golden, D. M., Kurylo, M. J., Moorgat, G. K., Keller-Rudek, H., Wine, P. H.,
12 Ravishankara, A. R., Kolb, C. E., Molina, M. J., Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Huie, R. E., and Orkin, V.
13 L.: Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies, Evaluation No.
14 17, JPL Publication 10-6, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, USA, available at:
15 <http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov> (last access: 3 December 2015), 2011Sörgel, M., Regelin, E.,
16 Bozem, H., Diesch, J.-M., Drewnick, F., Fischer, H., Harder, H., Held, A., Hosaynali-Beygi, Z.,
17 Martinez, M. and Zetzsch, C.: Quantification of the unknown HONO daytime source and its
18 relation to NO₂, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11(20), 10433–10447, doi:10.5194/acp-11-10433-2011,
19 2011.
- 20 Spataro, F. and Ianniello, A.: Sources of atmospheric nitrous acid: State of the science, current
21 research needs, and future prospects, *J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc.*, 64(11), 1232–1250,
22 doi:10.1080/10962247.2014.952846, 2014.
- 23 Stutz, J.: Relative humidity dependence of HONO chemistry in urban areas, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
24 109(D3), D03307, doi:10.1029/2003JD004135, 2004.
- 25 Stutz, J., Oh, H. J., Whitlow, S. I., Anderson, C., Dibb, J. E., Flynn, J. H., Rappenglück, B. and
26 Lefer, B.: Simultaneous DOAS and mist-chamber IC measurements of HONO in Houston, TX,
27 *Atmos. Environ.*, 44(33), 4090–4098, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.02.003, 2010.
- 28 Su, H., Cheng, Y., Oswald, R., Behrendt, T., Trebs, I., Meixner, F. X., Andreae, M. O., Cheng,
29 P., Zhang, Y. and Pöschl, U.: Soil nitrite as a source of atmospheric HONO and OH radicals.,
30 *Science*, 333(6049), 1616–8, doi:10.1126/science.1207687, 2011.
- 31 Taira, M. and Kanda, Y.: Continuous generation system for low-concentration gaseous nitrous
32 acid, *Anal. Chem.*, 633(15), 630–633, doi:10.1021/ac00205a018, 1990.
- 33 Vandenboer, T. C., Markovic, M. Z., Sanders, J. E., Ren, X., Pusede, S. E., Browne, E. C.,
34 Cohen, R. C., Zhang, L., Thomas, J., Brune, W. H. and Murphy, J. G.: Evidence for a nitrous
35 acid (HONO) reservoir at the ground surface in Bakersfield, CA, during CalNex 2010, *J.*
36 *Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 119, 9093–9106, doi:10.1002/2013JD020971.Received, 2014.
- 37 Villena, G., Bejan, I., Kurtenbach, R., Wiesen, P. and Kleffmann, J.: Development of a new
38 Long Path Absorption Photometer (LOPAP) instrument for the sensitive detection of NO₂ in the
39 atmosphere, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 1663–1676, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1663-2011, 2011a.
- 40 Villena, G., Bejan, I., Kurtenbach, R., Wiesen, P. and Kleffmann, J.: Interferences of commercial
41 NO₂ instruments in the urban atmosphere and in a smog chamber, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5(1),



- 1 149–159, doi:10.5194/amt-5-149-2012, 2012.
- 2 Villena, G., Kleffmann, J., Kurtenbach, R., Wiesen, P., Lissi, E., Rubio, M. A., Croxatto, G. and
- 3 Rappenglück, B.: Vertical gradients of HONO, NO_x and O₃ in Santiago de Chile, Atmos.
- 4 Environ., 45(23), 3867–3873, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.01.073, 2011b.
- 5 Weber, B., Wu, D., Tamm, A., Ruckteschler, N., Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Steinkamp, J.,
- 6 Meusel, H., Elbert, W., Behrendt, T., Sörgel, M., Cheng, Y., Crutzen, P. J., Su, H. and Pöschl,
- 7 U.: Biological soil crusts accelerate the nitrogen cycle through large NO and HONO emissions in
- 8 drylands, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112(50), 201515818, doi:10.1073/pnas.1515818112, 2015.
- 9 Williams, E. J., Baumann, K., Roberts, J. M., Bertman, S. B., Norton, R. B., Fehsenfeld, F. C.,
- 10 Sprinston, S. R., Nunnermacker, L. J., Newman, L., Olszyna, K., Meagher, J. F., Hartsell, B.,
- 11 Edgerton, E. S., Pearson, J. R. and Rodgers, M. O.: Intercomparison of ground-based NO_y
- 12 measurement techniques, J. Geophys. Res., 103(17), 22261–22280, doi:10.1029/98JD00074,
- 13 1998.
- 14 Yang, X., Cox, R. A., Warwick, N. J., Pyle, J. A., Carver, G. D., O'Connor, F. M. and Savage,
- 15 N. H.: Tropospheric bromine chemistry and its impacts on ozone: A model study, J. Geophys.
- 16 Res., 110(D23), D23311, doi:10.1029/2005JD006244, 2005.
- 17 Zhang, N., Zhou, X., Bertman, S., Tang, D., Alaghmand, M., Shepson, P. B. and Carroll, M. a.:
- 18 Measurements of ambient HONO concentrations and vertical HONO flux above a northern
- 19 Michigan forest canopy, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(17), 8285–8296, doi:10.5194/acp-12-8285-
- 20 2012, 2012.
- 21 Zhang, N., Zhou, X., Shepson, P. B., Gao, H., Alaghmand, M. and Stirr, B.: Aircraft
- 22 measurement of HONO vertical profiles over a forested region, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36(15), 1–5,
- 23 doi:10.1029/2009GL038999, 2009.
- 24 Zhou, X., Beine, H. J., Honrath, R. E., Fuentes, J. D., Simpson, W., Shepson, P. B. and
- 25 Bottenheim, J. W.: Snowpack Photochemical Production of HONO: a Major Source of OH in the
- 26 Arctic Boundary Layer, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28(21), 4087–4090, doi:10.1029/2001GL013531,
- 27 2001.
- 28
- 29



1

2 Figure 1. The measured spectral output of two UV-LED elements, nominally 385 nm output in
 3 dark blue, and 395 nm in red. The HONO absorption spectrum is shown in light blue whilst the
 4 NO₂ quantum yield is shown in dashed black. The absorption cross section of BrONO₂ is shown
 5 in green.

6

7




- 1 Table 1. Showing the distribution of NO_y species NO, NO₂, HNO₃, and HONO produced from
- 2 the HONO permeation source.

#	NO _y ppb Measured	NO ppb Measured	NO ₂ ppb Measured	HNO ₃ ppb Calculated	HONO ppb Calculated
1	20.40	3.34	2.64	0.35	14.08
2	19.29	2.96	2.35	0.30	13.68
3	16.82	2.59	2.10	0.26	11.89
4	14.95	2.27	1.87	0.20	10.62
5	13.40	2.05	1.73	0.16	9.45
6	12.15	1.86	1.58	0.14	8.57
7	11.09	1.70	1.46	0.12	7.81
8	10.17	1.60	1.35	0.11	7.14
Percent	%	15.5	12.8	1.3	70.4

3

4



1

2 Figure 2. Difference in HONO conversion between 385 and 395 nm UV-LEDs over a range of
 3 dilutions. Median values are in red, while all data is shown in grey. Linear fit is in black.

4






Figure 3. FT-IR spectra of dominant HONO absorbance lines at 1264, 853 cm^{-1} , over a range of concentrations.



1
 2 Figure 4. HONO determined by FT-IR (y-axis), versus HONO measured by the
 3 photolytic/chemiluminescence differential photolysis instrument (x-axis). Median values at each
 4 dilution are in red; all values are shown in grey. The 1:1 line is shown for reference as well as an
 5 exponential fit above 150 ppbV HONO.


6



1

2 Figure 5. Simulated conversion (open circles), and different in conversion (closed circles) for
 3 photolytic converters with different j in the presence of OH (red) and O₃ (black) oxidants.


4



1

2 Figure 6. HONO time series during July 2015 at the Weybourne Atmospheric Observatory
 3 (WAO) measured by LOPAP (grey) and pHONO (red).

4



1
 2 Figure 7. Correlation between HONO measured by LOPAP (x-axis) and pHONO (y-axis). The
 3 linear correlation is shown in black and the 1:1 line is shown for reference.

4